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## 1. Context

In this paper, we provide results on the Ramsey number and saturation of diameter four caterpillars. We refer to such trees as tristars. This work began as a joint project with Spencer Brooks during the 2011 Graphs, Groups, and Geometry REU at the University of North Carolina-Asheville. We obtained the exact Ramsey number for a particular subfamily of tristars called fountains and showed that fountains are Ramsey unsaturated. Additionally, we calculated bounds on the Ramsey number of "regular tristars". The work continued at Clemson University with Emily Nystrom as we computed the bounds for more general tristars, and in some cases, the bounds are slightly tighter than currently known ones.

Consider simple, undirected, and connected graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$. Recall that the Ramsey number of $G_{1}$ versus $G_{2}, r\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$, is the smallest integer $n$ so that every two coloring (say, with red and blue) of the edges of $K_{n}$ contains either a monochromatic $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$ as an edgeinduced isomorphic subgraph. When $G_{1}=G_{2}$, we write $r\left(G_{1}\right)$. A graph $G$ is Ramsey saturated, or simply saturated, if for every edge $e \notin E(G), r(G+e)>r(G)$. Otherwise, $G$ is unsaturated.

The caterpillar $C\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ consists of a path on $k \geq 1$ vertices with $m_{i}$ leaves adjacent to the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of the path. We assume $m_{1}, m_{k} \neq 0$. For $k=1, C(m)$ is a star and it is known [3] that

$$
r(C(m))= \begin{cases}2 m-1 & m \text { even } \\ 2 m & m \text { odd } .\end{cases}
$$

Though it is conjectured almost all graphs and all non-star trees of order at least 5 are unsaturated, stars are saturated [2]. For $k=2$, we write $B(m, n)$ and call the graph a bistar. In [1], we see $r(B(m, n)) \geq 2 m+n+2$ for $n \geq m$, and equality holds when $m \geq 2$ and $n \in\{m, m+1\}$. They showed that in general

$$
r\left(C\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)\right) \geq|V|+n-1
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\lceil k / 2\rceil} m_{2 i-1}+\lfloor k / 2\rfloor \quad n_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor k / 2\rfloor} m_{2 i}+\lceil k / 2\rceil \\
n=\min \left\{n_{1}, n_{2}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, they proved all bistars are unsaturated.
Our work continues this progression by examining $k=4$, the tristars. For $v \in V$, let

$$
B(v)=\{u \in V: u v \in E \text { blue }\}
$$

be the set of blue neighbors and $d_{B}(v)=|B(v)|$ be the blue degree of $v$. The set of red neighbors and the red degree, respectively, $R(v)$ and $d_{R}(v)$, are similarly defined. We begin by establishing bounds on $r(C(a, b, c))$. Without loss of generality, assume $c \geq a$ and $a, b, c \geq 1$.

## 2. Bounds on the Ramsey number of tristars

Perhaps the best lower bound on the Ramsey number of a tree $T$ originates from viewing the tree as a bipartite graph with parts $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, t_{2} \geq t_{1}$ [5]. Then $r(T) \geq \max \left\{2 t_{1}+t_{2}-1,2 t_{2}-1\right\}$. Applying this to the tristars yields

$$
r(C(a, b, c)) \geq \begin{cases}2(a+c)+b+3 & \text { if } 2(a+c)>b  \tag{1}\\ 2 b+3 & \text { if } 2(a+c) \leq b \\ a+c+2 b+4 & \text { if } 3 \leq a+c<2 b+3 \\ 2 a+2 c+1 & \text { if } 2 b+3 \leq a+c\end{cases}
$$

We slightly improve this bound for trees containing a single vertex of high odd degree relative to the other vertices.

Proposition 2.1. Let $G$ be any graph such that the maximum degree $\Delta=\Delta(G) \geq 3$ and odd. Then $R(G) \geq 2 \Delta$.
Proof. Consider $K_{2 \Delta-1}$. For each vertex $v_{i} \in\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 \Delta-2}\right\}$ of $K_{2 \Delta-1}$ and integer $j \in\left\{1, \ldots, \frac{\Delta-1}{2}\right\}$, color every edge $v_{i} v_{k}$ blue, where $k=(i+j) \bmod (2 \Delta-1)$. Color the remaining edges red. Notice that for each $v_{i}, d_{B}\left(v_{i}\right), d_{R}\left(v_{i}\right)=\Delta-1$.

This is a sort of converse to Theorem 9.2.2 (an Erdös conjecture) in [4]:
Theorem 2.2. (Chvátal, Rödl, Szemerédi, and Trotter) For every positive integer $k$, there exists a constant $c$ so that

$$
r(H) \leq c|H|
$$

for all graphs $H$ satisfying $\Delta(H) \leq k$.
In the case when $2(a+c) \leq b$ for odd $b$, Proposition 2.1 gives $r(C(a, b, c)) \geq 2 b+4$.
For an upper bound on the Ramsey number of tristars, we want to use the probabilistic method, but there is no clear way to count the number of possible tristars appearing in a complete graph. We plan on developing a way to do this at a later time. An adequate upper bound using a constructive approach is to set $m=\max \{a, b, c\}$ and observe that $r\left(C_{3}^{m}\right):=r(C(m, m, m)) \geq r(C(a, b, c))$. Hence, bounding the Ramsey number of the $m$-regular tristar $C_{3}^{m}$ bounds the Ramsey number for all tristars.
Proposition 2.3.

$$
r\left(C_{3}^{m}\right) \leq 5 m+4
$$

Proof. Omitted.

## 3. Ramsey number and saturation of fountains

Denote the fountains by $F_{b}:=C(1, b, 1)$. Call the vertex of degree $b+2$ the center vertex $v_{c}$, the vertices of degree 2 the branches $b_{1}, b_{2}$, and label the leaves $\ell_{i}$ beginning with $b_{1}$ and moving across to $b_{2}$. See Figure 1 for an example with $F_{3}$. Using Equation 1, Proposition 2.1, and some simple observations for $b=1,2$, we obtain

$$
r\left(F_{b}\right) \geq \begin{cases}8 & b=1  \tag{2}\\ 9 & b=2 \\ 2 b+3 & \text { even } b \geq 4 \\ 2 b+4 & \text { odd } b \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

It turns out that this lower bound is precisely the Ramsey number of the fountain. To prove this, we need the following results.


Figure 1. We use these labels to identify the fountain in our proofs.
Lemma 3.1. If $n \geq 5$ and is an odd integer, then there exists $v \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ such that $d_{B}(v)$ and $d_{R}(v)$ are even.

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose there exists a two-coloring of $K_{n}$ such that all vertices $v \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$ have an odd blue degree. Then the number of blue edges is $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{B}\left(v_{i}\right)}{2}$, which is not an integer. Thus, $d_{B}(v)$ must be even for some $v \in V\left(K_{n}\right)$. Note that if $d_{B}(v)$ is even, then so is $d_{R}(v)$.

In conjunction with the Pigeonhole Principle, Lemma 3.1 implies
Corollary 3.2. Suppose $b \geq 4$ and is even. Then there exists a vertex $v \in V\left(K_{2 b+3}\right)$ such that $d_{B}(v) \geq b+2$ and $d_{R}(v) \leq b$.

We now prove the upper bound.

## Proposition 3.3.

$$
r\left(F_{b}\right) \leq \begin{cases}8 & b=1 \\ 9 & b=2 \\ 2 b+3 & \text { even } b \geq 4 \\ 2 b+4 & \text { odd } b \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Here, we only prove the even case when $b \geq 4$. The odd and the $b=1, b=2$ cases are similar to the following proof. By Corollary 3.2 , there exists a $v_{0} \in V\left(K_{2 b+3}\right)$ such that $d_{B}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq b+2$ and $d_{R}\left(v_{0}\right) \leq b$. Let $T \subseteq B\left(v_{0}\right)$ such that $|T|=b+2$, and let $S=V\left(K_{2 b+3}\right) \backslash\left(T \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}\right)$. To avoid a blue $F_{b}$, we observe that for any two vertices $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$ and $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$ where $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$ and $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$, the edges $t_{1} s_{1}, t_{2} s_{2}$ cannot both be blue; otherwise, $v_{0}$ serves as $v_{c}$ since it has the sufficient number of blue neighbors, $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are the branches, and $s_{1}, s_{2}$ are $\ell_{1}, \ell_{b+2}$. For this reason, it must be the case either that $s_{1}=s_{2}$, that $t_{1}=t_{2}$, or that no blue edges are between $S$ and $T$ :
(1) Exactly one vertex $s_{1} \in S$ satisfies $\left|B\left(s_{1}\right) \cap T\right| \geq 1$.
(2) Exactly one vertex $t_{1} \in T$ satisfies $\left|B\left(t_{1}\right) \cap S\right| \geq 1$.
(3) For all vertices $t \in T,|B(t) \cap S|=0$.

Case 1: Notice that for all $s \in S \backslash\left\{s_{1}\right\},|R(s) \cap T|=b+2$ creating a red fountain. Select any vertex $s_{i} \in S \backslash\left\{s_{1}\right\}$ to be $v_{c}$, and since $\left|R\left(s_{i}\right) \cap T\right|=b+2$, we have sufficient leaves and branches. Each $t \in T$ has $|R(t) \cap S| \geq b-1$ so choose appropriate vertices, distinct from $s_{i}$ and $s_{1}$, for $\ell_{1}, \ell_{b+2}$.

Case 2: Notice that because $\left|R\left(s_{i}\right) \cap T\right| \geq b+1$ for all vertices $s_{i} \in S$, no vertex $s_{1} \in S$ can share a red edge with $v_{0}$ or with another vertex $s_{2} \in S$. Indeed, if such an edge was present between $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, then $s_{1}$ serves as $v_{c}$, and $s_{2}$ along with $s_{1}$ 's $b-1$ red neighbors in $T$ are leaves. The remaining 2 vertices $t_{i} \in\left(R\left(s_{1}\right) \cap T\right)$ are the brances, and because each $t \in T \backslash\left\{t_{1}\right\}$ has $\left|R(t) \cap S \backslash\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}\right|=b-2$,
select any 2 to be $\ell_{1}, \ell_{b+2}$. An analogous argument also reveals a red fountain if a red edge is present between $v_{0}$ and some vertex $s \in S$.

Thus, those edges must all be blue; yet, this creates a blue fountain. With this coloring, let $v_{0}$ be $v_{c}$. Select $t_{1}$ to serve as $b_{1}$ and a vertex $s_{1} \in\left(B\left(t_{1}\right) \cap S\right)$ as $\ell_{1}$. Now, pick a vertex $s_{2} \in S \backslash\left\{s_{1}\right\}$ to be $b_{2}$ and another vertex $s_{3} \in S \backslash\left\{s_{1}\right\}$ as its leaf. To complete the fountain, let $b-1$ leaves be in $T \backslash\left\{t_{1}\right\}$ and the remaining leaf be in $S \backslash\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}$.

Case 3: Unsurprisingly, this creates a red fountain in exactly the same manner as Case 1.
Combining Equation 2 and Proposition 3.3, we get

## Theorem 3.4.

$$
r\left(F_{b}\right)= \begin{cases}8 & b=1 \\ 9 & b=2 \\ 2 b+3 & \text { even } b \geq 4 \\ 2 b+4 & \text { odd } b \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

Now, we address saturation.
Theorem 3.5. For $b \geq 1, F_{b}$ is unsaturated.
Proof. To show that $F_{b}$ is unsaturated, we demonstrate that there is an edge $e \notin E\left(F_{b}\right)$, such that any two-coloring of $K_{r\left(F_{b}\right)}$ results in a monochromatic copy of $F_{b}+e$. We use the edge between a leaf and a branch vertex.

Since the proof for the even and odd cases are completely analogous, we prove only the even case. Suppose $b$ is even. Recall the cases considered in the proof of Proposition 3.3. In Case 1 and 3 , there is always a red edge $e \notin E\left(F_{b}\right)$ between a leaf in $T$ and a branch vertex in $S$. For Case 2 , a blue edge $e \notin E\left(F_{b}\right)$ connects a leaf and branch vertex, which both lie in $S$. Thus, $r\left(F_{b}\right)=r\left(F_{b}+e\right)$ implying $F_{b}$ is unsaturated for even $b$.

## 4. Future Directions

Our next step is to tighten the bounds on Ramsey number for general tristars. For the $m$-regular case, we conjecture $r\left(C_{3}^{m}\right)=4 m+4$. Once we do this, we want to see if we can generalize, perhaps through the use of the probabilistic method, to bounds on $r\left(C\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)\right)$ for any $k$.
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